File	With		

SECTION 131 FORM

from Jan Bo		commend that section 131 of the Pla t this stage for the following reason(
Section 131 not to b	e invoked at this stage.	
Section 131 to be in	voked — allow 2/4 weeks for	reply.
Signed Pat D		20/12/2023
Signed		Date
SEO/SAO		
M		
Please prepare BP	- Section 131 notice en	closing a copy of the attached subm
То	Task No	Allow 2/3/4 weeks
Simo d		BP
		ate
Signed		
EO		

Blue - Task No. 364771-23

Planning AppealOnline Observation



Online Reference NPA-OBS-002859

Online Observation Details

Contact Name Jan Bosch Lodgement Date 10/12/2023 11:24:31 Case Number / Description

314485

Payment Details		
Payment Method Online Payment	Cardholder Name Jan Bosch	Payment Amount €50.00
Processing Section		
S.131 Consideration Required Yes — See attache	ed 131 Form	N/A — Invalid
Signed		Date

Fee Refund Requisition	
Please Arrange a Refund of Fee of	Lod gement No
€	LDG— 068 555-23
Reason for Refund	
	De la la Contractiva Office of the Assessed
Documents Returned to Observer	Request Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approval
Yes No	Yes No
Signed	Date

Finance Section

Payment Reference	Checked Against Fee Income Online
ch_3OLI7bB1CW0EN5FC1VtcOnfP	
	EO/AA (Accounts Section)
Amount	Refund Date
€	
Authorised By (1)	Authorised By (2)
SEO (Finance)	Chief Officer/Director of Corporate Affairs/SAO/Board Member
Date	Date

Case Number: 314485

Jan Bosch, 1 Chapel Farm Close, Lusk, Co Dublin.

Dear Sir/Madam

I wish to make several points in this observation on the planning application by the DAA.

The climate crisis has begun to severely impact our world and our country and cannot be ignored. There can be no justification for increasing air travel and all measures must be taken to reduce air travel among a number of other initiatives.

- As has been widely documented that the DAA and the airlines using the airport are
 not in compliance with the planning permission granted (flight paths and restricted
 hours operations). No further consideration to change the planning consent should
 be entertained until the airport and airlines operate in full compliance of the current
 planning permissions for a number of years.
- 2. The reports on awakenings do not seem to cover the effect of multiple awakenings over a very short period of time. I have screenshots of aircraft passing overhead on Sunday 14th May at 07:28, 07:31, 07:34, 07:39, 0742, 07:45, 07:50, 07:54, 07:56, and 08:02. For the Bank Holiday Monday 10th April I have screenshots of aircraft overhead at 06:16, 06:17, 06:19, 06:25, 06:27, 06:47, 06:48, 06:52. I obviously had been fully awakened in order to record these aircraft. The result of the proposed change to the hours will be all this occurring one hour earlier and throughout the night.
- 3. If the flight path for which planning permission was obtained was utilised then many of the noise complaints would be nullified as aircraft would be at a significantly higher altitude passing overhead.
- 4. There should be no need to model aircraft noise at this stage as data on actual movements should be available.
- 5. The use of average noise levels in the modelling is disingenuous as it is the maximum noise levels that will cause disturbance.
- 6. The modelling does not distinguish between weekdays and weekends (incl. Bank Holiday weekends). If this was for instance a building site no work could take place over the weekend as part of the planning permission.
- 7. The report by Intervistas on the economic impact of operating restrictions has a very significant figure for "Catalytic Impact". This figure seems to be unidirectional and does not seem to cover outbound tourism and what the loss to the Irish economy of this is. I am not certain how many inbound tourists would be arriving during the proposed revised operating hours? Perhaps you could ask?
- 8. Noise complaints that have been made to DAA have been dismissed and suggested referral be made to the Irish Aviation Authority who then refer on to another public body. The Noise Regulator does not accept complaints about individual aircraft and I have been told is in addition engaged in a study at the moment and this gives another reason to not consider the DAA application at this point.

The result of all these issues is that my health and well-being is being badly impacted and I urge you therefore to refuse this application.

Regards

Jan Bosch